

# NMFS Openscapes 2i2c JupyterHub Usage and Costs

## Monthly report for December 2025

### Introduction

A key objective of NMFS Openscapes is to minimize “the time to science” for researchers. Cloud infrastructure can facilitate shortening this time. We use a 2i2c-managed JupyterHub (“Hub”), which lets us work in the cloud with a shared computing environment. The purpose of the JupyterHub is to provide initial, exploratory experiences with cloud computing. It is not meant to be a long-term solution to support on-going science work or software development. For those users that decide working in the Cloud is advantageous and want to move there, we support a migration from the Hub to their own environment through Coiled.io, and are working on other “fledgling” pathways.

The main costs of running the JupyterHub come from two sources:

1. Compute, using AWS EC2
2. Storage using AWS EFS, via storage in users’ home directories

Compute costs scale up and down as the Hub is used, however storage costs are fixed - we pay for “data at rest”, with ongoing daily costs/GB even while the Hub is not running.

Storing large amounts of data in the cloud can incur significant ongoing costs if not done optimally. We are developing technical strategies and policies to reduce storage costs that will keep the Openscapes 2i2c Hubs a shared resource for us all to use, while also providing reusable strategies for other admins.

This report is intended to give a monthly summary of usage of the Hub and its resources, by tracking metrics on costs and usage of key components of storage (EFS) and compute (EC2).



### Month over month changes

A comparison of monthly costs in the Hub can help us to compare usage over time and identify longer-term patterns. We can query the AWS Cost Explorer API to explore these costs.

### Total Costs

The following plot shows the total monthly costs of all AWS services related to the Hub, as well as a breakdown of costs by service each month.

The total cost of all AWS Services for running the NMFS Openscapes 2i2cHub in December 2025 was



#### AWS Service

<span style="color: #0056b3;">■</span>	Amazon Simple Storage Service	<span style="color: #00aaff;">■</span>	Amazon Elastic Container Service for Kubernetes
<span style="color: #c8512e;">■</span>	Amazon Virtual Private Cloud	<span style="color: #c0392b;">■</span>	EC2 - Other
<span style="color: #8000ff;">■</span>	Amazon Elastic Load Balancing	<span style="color: #0072bd;">■</span>	Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud - Compute


\*The top nine services are shown individually, with any remaining grouped into 'Other'

## Storage

Managing storage is an effective way to manage long-term costs in the Hub, as data-at-rest is an ongoing cost, much of which can be avoided by monitoring and reducing storage of data that is not required.

User home directories are in an AWS “Elastic File System” (EFS) mount, which is a relatively expensive option for long-term storage of large files. The following figure plots the daily total

size of data storage in the user home directories in the Hub over the past six months. The size of the home drives is directly correlated with the costs for “Amazon Elastic File System” in the previous chart.




## Detailed breakdown for the month of December

To understand more about usage and costs during the current month, we can look at daily usage metrics and costs.

### Home directory sizes

The following figure shows the total size of home directories in the NMFS Hub. Usage is broken out by the GitHub team by which they are granted access to the Hub (Long-Term Access and NMFS Champions 2024).




## Compute costs and usage


When a user logs into the Hub, they can choose the amount of RAM and number of CPUs they would like to use, enabling them to scale computing power appropriate to the tasks they are running. More powerful compute resources have higher hourly costs, so it is important to not choose a powerful instance when it isn't required.

Examining both the usage and the costs of the EC2 instance types that users choose can help us understand users's needs as well as compute costs. This helps us develop policies and recommendations for Hub compute usage.

The following plots show the usage and costs broken down by instance type. The compute profiles that users can choose from run on r5.xlarge (4 CPUs, 32 GiB memory) or r5.4xlarge (16 CPUs, 128 GiB memory) instances. Note that during some large workshops, administrators will choose very large instance types (for example r5.16xlarge; 64 CPUs, 512 GiB memory) so they can provision a small number of nodes with many users per node. This is more efficient than launching many nodes at once. Other instance types, such as m6i.xlarge indicate usage of the AWS infrastructure outside of the Hub, mostly using coiled.



\*Hub resource allocation options up to 3.7 CPUs run on 'r5.xlarge' instances, and those with up to 15.6 CPUs run on 'r5.4xlarge' instances.



Finally, it is useful to look at the relationship between compute hours and total cost by instance type, to understand both the highest cost and highest usage, as well as the cost-efficiency of the instance types.

